

REMARKS

Please reconsider the application in view of the above amendments and the following remarks. Applicant thanks the Examiner for carefully considering the application.

Attorney Docket Number

Applicant respectfully requests that the attorney docket number be changed to 09428/183001.

Drawings

Applicant respectfully requests the Examiner to indicate whether the drawings filed on August 31, 2001 are acceptable.

Disposition of Claims

Claims 1, 6-7, 9 11-15, 18-21, 24-36, and 39 are pending in the referenced application. Claims 1, 18, 27, 33, and 39 are independent. The remaining claims depend, directly or indirectly, from claims 1, 18, 27, and 33.

Claim Amendments

Independent claims 1, 18, 27, 33, and 39 have been amended to clarify that (i) the wrapped control is generated using a development license key for the control and (ii) a run-time license key is required to execute the wrapped controller. Support for the aforementioned amendments may be found, for example, in paragraphs [0091]-[0093] of the referenced application. The aforementioned

paragraph references correspond to paragraph references in corresponding US Patent Application Publication US 2003/0043192.

In addition, claim 25 has been amended to address antecedent basis issues arising from amendments made to independent claim 18. Finally, claim 26 has been amended to correct a typographical error. No new matter has been added by any of the aforementioned amendments.

Rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. §103

Claims 1, 3, 6-9, 11-15, 18-21, 24-36 and 39 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103 as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent Application Publication No. US 2002/0085020 ("Carroll") in view of U.S. Patent No. 7,039,875 ("Khalfay"). To the extent that this rejection applies to the pending amended and non-amended claims, this rejection is respectfully traversed.

The independent claims have been amended to clarify that: (i) wherein the wrapped control is generated using a development license key for the control and (ii) a run-time license key is required to execute the wrapped controller. Thus, the amended independent claims requires two-distinct license keys: one license key to generate the wrapped controller (*i.e.*, development license key) and one license key to execute the wrapped controller (*i.e.*, run-time license key).

Turning to the rejection, to establish a prima facie case of obviousness, "the prior art reference (or references when combined) must teach or suggest all the claim limitations." MPEP § 2143 (emphasis added). Applicant respectfully asserts that Carroll and Khalfay, whether considered separately or in combination, fail to teach or suggest all of the limitations recited in the amended independent claims.

Carroll and Khalfay fail to teach or suggest a development license key and a run-time license key. In fact, a thorough review of Carroll and Khalfay reveals that they are both silent with respect to any license keys. In view of the above, Carroll and Khalfay fail to teach or suggest all the limitations of the amended independent claims. Thus, amended independent claims 1, 18, 27, 33, and 39, are patentable over Carroll and Khalfay. Pending dependent claims are patentable over Carroll and Khalfay for at least the same reasons as amended independent claims 1, 18, 27, and 33. Accordingly, withdrawal of this rejection is respectfully requested.

Conclusion

Applicant believes this reply is fully responsive to all outstanding issues and places this application in condition for allowance. If this belief is incorrect, or other issues arise, the Examiner is encouraged to contact the undersigned or his associates at the telephone number listed below. Please apply any charges not covered, or any credits, to Deposit Account 50-0591 (Reference Number 09428/183001).

Dated: July 10, 2007

Respectfully submitted,

By /T. Chyau Liang, Ph.D./

T. Chyau Liang, Ph.D.

Registration No.: 48,885

OSHA · LIANG LLP

1221 McKinney St., Suite 2800

Houston, Texas 77010

(713) 228-8600

(713) 228-8778 (Fax)

Attorney for Applicant